

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/615,473	07/13/2000	Marcus Escobosa	81230.56US1	4894
34018	7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			SHIMIZU, MATSUICHIRO	
SUITE 2500	ACKER DRIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60601-1732			2635	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

et.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/615,473	ESCOBOSA ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Matsuichiro Shimizu	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED on 9/13/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 63 and 73.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>54-62,64-72 and 74-80</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	BR PRII	IAN ZIMMERMAN MARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant's argument (lines 4-17, page 2), combination of Kemink and Hayes teaches claimed limitations in view of the art of remote control of consumer electronic devices common to prior arts of Kemink and Hayes. Furthermore, configuring a remote control solves, among others, the problem of how to configure a remote control when the user does not have his model number available or when a model number provided is not recognized by the configuring system in the applicant's argument (line 19, page 2 to line 8, page 3) is not claimed in the claims, and additionally the phrase "type" or "types" in claims provides broader interpretation to claims. Therefore, rejection of claims 54-80 is maintained. The examiner requests the applicant to file a statement stating Hayes (US Patent No. 6,223,348) were commonly assigned at the time of the invention.